Template pre-contractual disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 9, paragraphs 1 to 4a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 5, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name:

M Climate Solutions

Legal entity identifier: 96950064LDOGMTGW9J67

Sustainable investment objective

Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?		
• • X Yes	• No	
It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective: 75% in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	It promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of% of sustainable investments with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy with a social objective	
It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective: 0%	It promotes E/S characteristics, but will not make any sustainable investments	

social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices. The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities.

Sustainable

investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Sustainable investment means

an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or



What is the sustainable investment objective of this financial product?

Sustainability indicators measure how the sustainable objectives of this financial product are attained.

The Fund integrates sustainability factors into its investment process, as set out in more detail below and in the "Investment Strategy" section of the Prospectus.

The investment objective of the fund is to identify the involvement of companies in favor of the energy and ecological transition for the climate, in order to invest mainly in the stocks of companies whose initiatives or solutions contribute directly or indirectly to the reduction of the impacts of climate change, or in the stocks of companies which a part of the activity concerns changes and developments related to the theme of energy and climate transition.

What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of the sustainable investment objective of this financial product?

The extra-financial approach implemented by the fund is based on the integration of extra-financial criteria as from the definition of the investment universe. This forms part of the ESG policy implemented by the management company, which is available on its website.

For each line eligible to enter the portfolio, an estimate will be made of the portion of total turnover contributing directly or indirectly to the energy and ecological transition. The data used are mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research and may be completed or updated by the Management Company from other sources. This measurement will be determined from an estimate of each company's turnover in the eight categories of activity constituting "eco-activities" participating in the energy and ecological transition and the fight against climate change:

- Energy;
- building:
- circular economy;
- manufacturing;
- own transport;
- information and communication technologies;
- agriculture and forestry;
- adaptation to climate change.

The scope of the energy and ecological transition encompasses activities that contribute directly or indirectly to the development of energy from renewable sources (wind, solar, geothermal, hydro, marine, biomass, etc.) energy efficiency and reduced carbon footprint of buildings and manufacturing processes, the circular economy, own transport, agriculture and forestry, infrastructure for adapting to climate change, etc. These are "activities that produce goods and services to measure, prevent, limit, minimise or correct environmental damage to water, air, soil, as well as problems related to waste, noise and eco-systems for the wellbeing of mankind".

An indirect contribution may consist for example in financing, taking equity stakes in companies involved, the manufacture of components used in "eco-activities", or the consumption of products of "eco-activities". The stocks are classified by reference to the percentage of their turnover carried out in "eco-activities":

- Type 1 stocks, companies, more than 50% of whose turnover is in "eco-activities";
- Type II stocks, companies between 10% and 50% of whose turnover is in "eco-activities";
- Type III stocks, companies less than 10% of whose turnover is in "eco-activities".

The Fund's investment strategy will conform to the following constraints:

- Type I stocks will represent at least 20% of portfolio assets;
- Type I or Type II stocks will together represent at least 75% of portfolio assets;
- "Diversification" portfolio assets, including Type III stocks and/or other debt instruments and money market instruments must represent less than 25% of total portfolio assets.

Type III stocks included in "Diversification" assets comprise two categories of stocks:

- Shares of companies that do not meet any of the constraints in terms of percentage of turnover linked to "eco-activities", while being selected from the same universe of potentially investable stocks subject to internal rating. For example, a company may have a low carbon profile and yet not carry out any of the eight eligible "eco-activities", or score well on involvement in the energy and ecological transition but derive less than 10% of its turnover from any of the eight "eco-activities";
- Debt securities and money market instruments, to the issuers of which no turnover constraint will be applied.

The existence of controversies, particularly those relating to the environment, will be given particular attention. Companies involved in very serious controversy relating to the environment, or more generally a very serious ESG controversy, will be excluded from the

investment universe. The monitoring of controversies will rely mainly on the research provided by MSCI ESG Research.

The manager's selection will be made mainly from among companies that contribute to reducing the effects of climate change, or participate directly or indirectly in the trends and developments linked to the energy and climate transition. For taking non-financial criteria into account, the fund follows a thematic approach which is based on the proprietary M Climat analysis methodology developed by the management company of the fund (Montpensier Finance) and which is part of a sustainability risks mitigation objective, without however being able to guarantee that the sustainability risks are fully neutralized. All of the securities in the portfolio will be analyzed using the M Climat method.

In choosing these stocks, the manager will complement the analysis of ESG criteria with an analysis of the intrinsic characteristics of the companies and their prospects, using an approach based on the companies' fundamentals with a long-term perspective. The manager will rely on understanding of the business model and on the company's development strategy, but also on business growth projections and the valuation of the business.

The stages for identifying potentially investable stocks of Types I (over 50% of turnover from "eco-activities") and II (10% to 50% of turnover from "eco-activities") can be summarised as follows:

1/ The initial universe is obtained at the end of a double quantitative process whose objective is firstly to identify the stocks on which sufficient data are available, based in particular on MSCI data relating to stocks covered by MSCI ESG Research, which includes approximately 11 000 small, medium and large capitalization companies, in developed and emerging countries. At the end of this process, approximately 8 000 values are retained. On these stocks, a second quantitative process is applied based on extra-financial data, with the objective of excluding stocks:

- of companies involved in the production of nuclear energy (or the supply of products and/or services to the nuclear industry, where more than 15% of turnover is related to these activities);
- of producers of energy from fossil fuel sources;
- of companies involved in very serious environmental or ESG controversy.

This process makes it possible to determine the investment universe of the fund, which is thus reduced to around 6100 stocks.

2/ The stocks thus filtered are then subjected to three internal ratings:

- A rating for involvement in the ecological and energy transition, assessed in accordance with the various themes, such as energy from renewable sources, water, the circular economy, green buildings, clean tech, toxic emissions, etc.
- A carbon profile rating which takes account of the intensity of companies' carbon emissions and their objectives for reducing them.

These two ratings are calculated from non-financial quantitative and qualitative data, mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research. They may be completed or amended by the Management Company from other sources.

In order to determine the potentially investable universe, a final filter is applied. It consists in excluding from the universe the 20% of stocks which have the worst Involvement in the Energy and Ecological Transition ITE ratings and the 20% of stocks which have the worst Carbon Profile scores, with the aim of improving the pair "Green Share" / Carbon Profile of the portfolio. This process results in the exclusion of around 1700 stocks, i.e. a potentially investable universe of around 4400 stocks.

- These ratings, associated with a qualitative analysis of companies' activity, allow the companies to be ranked in terms of their involvement with the energy and ecological transition, and their activity to be classified among the "eco-activities". A

Fundamental rating:

- A fundamental analysis rating (financial solidity, market capitalisation, float, liquidity, etc.) is determined from financial ratios and market data.

The objective of this step is to identify stocks with a qualifying profile with regard to the

environmental criteria and fundamental criteria, in order to identify potentially investable stocks.

3/ The estimate of the proportion of turnover relating to the eight categories of eligible activities is then taken into account in order to classify the potentially investable securities as Type I or Type II as regards the eight categories of activity participating in the energy and ecological transition and the fight against climate change.

The Social and Governance criteria are then taken into account during the qualitative ESG analysis conducted on the values. First of all, for governance, we examine whether the alignment of interests between the stakeholders is sufficient. For social characteristics, we focus on the sufficient quality of social dialogue within the company. For this, we can rely on quantitative and qualitative extra-financial data, mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research. These may be supplemented or amended by the Montpensier Finance from other sources.

4/ Next, the manager determines the composition of the portfolio by selecting securities from those identified above, respecting the constraints of distribution by "green share" of the portfolio. Thus, all the values in the portfolio are subject to the extra-financial analysis described above.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters.

How do sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

The analysis at level of the fund of the "do no significant harm" (DNSH) criterion is based on exclusions, Main Negative Impacts (PAI) and the assessment of controversies. In addition, securities whose impact on MIA on ecology or solidarity impact or on the E and S pillars is negative are also assessed as not respecting the DNSH criterion.

How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?

The management company of the fund takes into account the main indicators of negative impacts in in policy of sectoral and normative exclusions, analysis of good governance practices (using the proprietary Montpensier Governance Flag - MGF), the proprietary qualitative analysis of the contribution of companies to environmental and solidarity transitions (Montpensier Impact Assessment – MIA, Montpensier Industry Contributor – MIC), and/or in the analysis of eco- activities that determines the contribution to one or more UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The relevance and coverage of these indicators in analysis vary depending on the sector, industry and region in which each company operates. Below is a non-exhaustive list of the main negative impacts that can be taken into account in our extra-financial analysis:

CLIMATE INDICATORS AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS

Greenhouse gas emissions	 GHG emissions Carbon footprint GHG intensity of investee companies Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector
	5. Share of consumption and production of non-renewable energy6. Intensity of energy consumption by sector with high climate impact
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively impacting biodiversity-sensitive areas
Water	8. releases to water
Waste	9. Ratio of hazardous waste and radioactive waste

INDICATORS RELATED TO SOCIAL ISSUES, STAFF, RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION AND ACTS OF CORRUPTION

Social and personnel	10. Violations of the principles of the United Nations Global	
issues	Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational	
	Enterprises	
	11. Lack of compliance processes and mechanisms to	
	monitor compliance with the principles of the UN Global	
	Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational	
	Enterprises	
	12. Uncorrected gender pay gap	
	13. Mixity within governance bodies Board gender diversity	
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel	
	mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons or biological	
	weapons)	

How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights are integrated into the fund management company's ESG methodology. Montpensier Finance excludes from the investment universe companies that are not aligned with certain international standards and conventions, in particular the principles of the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC), the conventions of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPBHR).

Controversies are tracked weekly via the MSCI ESG Controversies search. Securities subject to a "Red" controversy are excluded from the investment universe. Among these "Red" controversies, Montpensier Finance finds in particular companies that are not in line with the United Nations Global Compact (Human Rights, Labor Rights, Environment and Corruption).



Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Yes, the management company of the fund considers all the mandatory Principal Adverse Impacts applicable to the fund' strategy and relies on a combination of exclusion policies (normative and sectoral), integration of ESG analysis in the process investment, engagement and voting approaches

No

What investment strategy does this financial product follow?



The Fund's objective is to share in the development of global equity markets by investing actively and on a discretionary basis primarily in shares of companies whose initiatives or solutions contribute directly or indirectly to reducing the impacts of climate change, or in shares of companies part of whose activity concerns trends and developments linked to the theme of the energy and climate transition.

The UCITS integrates sustainability factors into its investment process. The non-financial approach implemented is presented in the UCITS Transparency Code available on the management company's website.

The Fund's objective is to share in the development of global equity markets by investing actively and on a discretionary basis primarily in shares of companies whose initiatives or solutions contribute directly or indirectly to reducing the impacts of climate change, or in shares of companies part of whose activity concerns trends and developments linked to the theme of the energy and climate transition, as described below. A thematic approach is used to take non-financial criteria into account.

The Fund's management strategy uses a dual approach:

- stock picking on the basis of non-financial criteria with the aim of identifying companies' involvement in the energy and ecological transition for climate;
- completed by a fundamental analysis supported by a quantitative study based on financial ratios.

The Fund's investment strategy will conform to the following constraints:

- Type I stocks (companies generating more than 50% of their turnover in "eco-activities") will represent at least 20% of the portfolio's assets;
- Type I or Type II stocks (companies generating between 10% and 50% of their turnover in "eco-activities") will represent at least 75% of the portfolio's assets;
- "diversification" portfolio assets, including Type III stocks (companies generating less than 10% of their turnover in "eco-activities"), or other debt securities and money market instruments, can represent up to 25% of the portfolio's assets.

The UCITS will invest a minimum of 75% of its assets in equities and equity-linked instruments. It is exposed:

- to a minimum of 75% in international equities, and up to 100% Exposure is achieved through equities of all capitalisations, without geographical or sectoral allocation. The manager reserves the option to be mainly or completely invested in French securities.
- from 0% to 25% in bonds, convertible bonds and fixed income products. The Fund may invest up to 10% of its net assets in securities and money market instruments. The issuers may be public or private. No criteria relative to the rating (or deemed equivalent by the management company) is imposed. The Fund may invest up to 40% of its assets in emerging countries and up to 25% of its net assets in securities considered speculative (or equivalent by the management company).

The Fund may invest from 0% to 10% of its net assets in units or shares of French or European UCITS or from 0% to 10% of its net assets in units or shares of French or European FIAs that comply with the criteria of article R.214-13 of the French Monetary and Financial Code.

The currency risk, which represents a maximum of 100% of the net assets, may be hedged in whole or in part, in particular by means of over-the-counter forward transactions concluded with a credit institution.

The investment strategy guides investment decisions based on factors such as investment objectives and risk tolerance.

What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain the sustainable investment objective?

The fund implements a responsible investment approach which aims to exclude from the investment universe companies that are not compatible with the extra-financial criteria defined by the management company.

The extra-financial analysis carried out will make it possible to define a list of potentially investable stocks based on proprietary ratings linked to the environment:

- A rating on the involvement in the energy and ecological transition, apprehended according to different themes, for example: renewable energy, water, circular economy, green real estate, clean tech, toxic emissions, ...
- A rating on the carbon profile score that takes into account the intensity of carbon emissions and the companies' carbon emission reduction targets.

These two ratings are calculated using quantitative and qualitative extra-financial data, mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research. These may be supplemented or amended by Montpensier Finance from other sources.

In order to determine the potentially investable universe, a final filter is applied. It consists in excluding from the universe the 20% of stocks which have the worst Involvement in the Energy and Ecological Transition ITE ratings and the 20% of stocks which have the worst Carbon Profile scores, with the aim of improving the "Green Share" / Carbon Profile of the portfolio.

The extra-financial approach implemented is presented in the fund's Transparency Code available on www.montpensier.com.

The data used is mainly provided by MSCI ESG Research and may be supplemented, modified or updated by Montpensier Finance from other sources.

At least 90% of the securities in the portfolio, excluding bonds and other debt securities issued by public or quasi-public issuers, and cash held on an ancillary basis, are hedged according to the ESG criteria defined above.

Investors should note that extra-financial analysis is not performed to the same standards than for other investments on cash, cash equivalents, and derivatives used for hedging purposes, and that it may not be possible to carry out a non-financial analysis on certain collective investment undertakings, according to the same standards as for other investments. Thus, the ESG analysis methodology will not include cash, cash equivalents, and derivatives for hedging purpose.

All the securities in portfolio, with the exception of the instruments mentioned above, are analyzed using a dual ESG and fundamental approach.

Good governance practices include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff ad tax compliance.

What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?

The management company of the fund implements an analysis of corporate governance practices according to the proprietary Montpensier Governance Flag (MGF) method, the objective of which is to assess the alignment of interests between executives, shareholders and more generally all stakeholders.

It is based on a list of sub-criteria divided around 4 axes of analysis:

- ✓ board (rate of independence of the board of directors, presence of women on the board of directors, etc.),
- ✓ remuneration (transparency of remuneration criteria, etc.),
- ✓ shareholder structure (presence of majority shareholder, etc.), and
- √ accounting practices (opinion of financial auditors on company accounts, etc.),

Montpensier Finance applies a quantitative identification filter which makes it possible to identify the stocks which present weaknesses with regard to the four pillars analyzed. This identification of values gives rise to an additional qualitative analysis that can lead to their maintenance in the final universe. This analysis also allows us to determine 3 FGM statuses: "Pass", "Watchlist" or "Fail". All companies identified as "Fail" are excluded.



What is the asset allocation and the minimum share of sustainable investments?

The fund undertakes to have a minimum of 10090% Sustainable Investments as indicated in the table below. The investments of the fund will be used to meet the environmental or social characteristics pursued, in accordance with the binding elements of the investment strategy, when they integrate sustainability factors by excluding any issuer specified in the exclusion list described in the fund's Transparency Code available on www.montpensier.com.

Investors should note that it may not be possible to perform ESG analysis to the same standards as for other investments on cash, cash equivalents and derivatives for hedging purpose.

The asset allocation figures shown above reflect the pre-contractual minimums expressed as a percentage of the fund's net assets.

#1 Sustainable Minimum : 0% #2 Not sustainable Cash, cash equivalent Maximum : 10% of the Net Asset #1 Sustainable Social Minimum : 0% #2 Not sustainable Cash, cash equivalent Maximum : 10% of the Net Asset #1 Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives. #2 Not sustainable includes investments which do not qualify as sustainable investments.

How does the use of derivatives attain the sustainable investment objective?

Derivative products are not used to achieve the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the UCITS.

In practice, the fund does not use derivatives.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover
 reflecting the
 share of revenue
 from green
 activities of
 investee
 companies
- capital
 expenditure
 (CapEx) showing
 the green
 investments made
 by investee
 companies, e.g. for
 a transition to a
 green economy.
- operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for fossil gas include limitations on emissions and switching to renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For nuclear energy, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available ad among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.



To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?


Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy 1?


Yes

X In fossil gas
X In nuclear energy

No

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of -all sovereign exposures

At present, the mutual fund is committed to aligning its portfolio with the minimum European taxonomy of 0%. However, it is likely to hold investments in activities qualified as Sustainable within the meaning of the Taxonomy Regulation.

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?

The Fund has no minimum proportion of investment in transitional or enabling activities.





What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The fund has not defined a minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU taxonomy.



What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with a social objective?

The fund has not defined a minimum share of socially sustainable investments.



What investments are included under "#2 Not sustainable", what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Cash, cash equivalents for treasury purpose as well as derivatives used for hedging purpose are included in "#2 Not sustainableOther".



Is a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to meet the sustainable investment objective?

The fund does not use a specific index designated as a reference to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that it promotes.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the sustainable investment objective.

How does the reference benchmark take into account sustainability factors in a way that is continuously aligned with the sustainable investment objective?

The fund has not defined a minimum share of socially sustainable investments.

How is the alignment of the investment strategy with the methodology of the index ensured on a continuous basis?

N/A

How does the designated index differ from a relevant broad market index?

N/A

Where can the methodology used for the calculation of the designated index be found?

N/A



Where can I find more product specific information online?

More product-specific information can be found on the website:

www.montpensier.com